

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES--GENERAL

Case No. 2:23-cv-06384-SB (DTB)

Date: January 17, 2025

Title: Dijon Bernard v. Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority

=====

**DOCKET ENTRY**

=====

PRESENT:

**HON. DAVID T. BRISTOW, MAGISTRATE JUDGE**

Rachel Maurice  
Deputy Clerk

n/a  
Court Reporter

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR PLAINTIFF:

None present

ATTORNEYS PRESENT FOR DEFENDANT(S):

None present

**PROCEEDINGS: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE  
REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S THIRD AMENDED COMPLAINT [DOCKET  
NO. 37]**

On December 2, 2024, the Court issued its Order Granting Motion to Dismiss Second Amended Complaint With Leave to Amend ("Order"). (Docket No. 37). In its Order, the Court granted Defendant's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Second Amended Complaint with leave to amend, allowing Plaintiff to file a Third Amended Complaint on or before January 2, 2025. As of this date, Plaintiff has not filed a Third Amended Complaint. Accordingly, Plaintiff is ordered to show cause, in writing, no later than **February 7, 2025**, why this action should not be dismissed, without prejudice, for failure to prosecute.

This Order to Show Cause will be discharged upon the filing of a Third Amended Complaint. If Plaintiff no longer wishes to pursue this action, he may request a voluntary dismissal pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1). A notice of dismissal form is attached for Plaintiff's convenience.

Plaintiff is warned that a failure to timely respond to this Order to Show Cause will result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed, without prejudice, under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b) for failure to prosecute and obey Court orders.

**IT IS SO ORDERED.**